



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.ustof.og.00

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/964,037	09/26/2001	Jerry A. Whatley	BRKS-25,885 9904	
25883	7590 04/18/200		EXAMINER	
HOWISON & ARNOTT, L.L.P P.O. BOX 741715			KRAMER, JAMES A	
	τχ 75374-1715		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/964,037	WHATLEY ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		James A. Kramer	3627			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a solution of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Fe This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment	(s)					
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgements

Examiner thanks Applicant for detailing the interview conducted on August 25, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hughes in view of Visapp.

Hughes teaches a video real estate information service. Hughes teaches an apparatus and method for providing an audio-visual presentation of a property supplied over a network (abstract). The audio-visual presentation of Hughes includes a floor plan of the property (column 2; lines 18-19). Hughes further teaches as feature of the system, the ability to manipulate data to show what the property would look like should alteration be made; clients who intend to paint walls, buy new furniture or add on a new wing to a property can see what the property would look like before committing themselves (i.e. at a time at which a buyer for the property has not yet been identified) (column 5; lines 1-7).

Hughes does not specifically teach the ability to click and drag (drop and drag) furniture icons from a funrniture icon listing onto a floor plan of the structure.

Visapps teaches the technology to download an image of a house and then to drop and drag options, including furniture from an image library. Visapps further teaches scaling the products in order to get a real life representation of how the options will fit into the home. Examiner notes that Visapps teaches this is done in order to view design projects without spending a dime.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to modify the system of Hughes to incorporate the click and drag technology of Visapps, as well as the ability to scale images as taught by Visapps. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a modification in order to view design projects without spending a dime.

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hughes in view Hughes and in further view of Admitted Prior Art

Hughes does not teach the purchase of a title search, nor the purchase of title insurance.

Examiner noted in the Final Office Action mailed 6/2/05 that both a title search and title insurance are old and well known in the art of real estate in order to ensure the proper owner ship of a property prior to sale. As this Office Notice statement was not traversed by Applicant, it is hereby taken as Admitted Prior Art.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the real estate information service apparatus and method of

Hughes to include a title search and title insurance, as these are old and well known in the art, in order to ensure the proper ownership of the property prior to sale.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Kramer whose telephone number is (571) 272 6783. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8AM - 5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272 6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner Art Unit 3627

jak 4/14/06